

# **EXHIBIT U**

1           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
 2                               EASTERN DIVISION

- - -

3       IN RE:   NATIONAL                               :   HON. DAN A.  
               PRESCRIPTION OPIATE                   :   POLSTER  
 4       LITIGATION                                   :   MDL NO. 2804

:

5       This document relates to:                   :   Case No. 17-MD-2804

:

6       The County of Summit, Ohio                :  
               Ohio et al. v. Purdue Pharma       :  
 7       L.P., et al., Case No.                    :  
               17-OP-45004                           :

:

8                       The County of Cuyahoga v.   :  
 9       Purdue Pharma Purdue Pharma            :  
               L.P., et al., Case No.            :  
 10       18-OP-45090                               :

:

- - -

12                       Friday, May 10, 2019  
 13                               Volume II

14

- - -

15       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER  
               CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW

16

- - -

17                       Videotaped deposition of  
               CRAIG J. MCCANN, Ph.D., CFA, taken pursuant  
 18       to notice, was held at the law offices of  
               Morgan Lewis & Bockius, 1111 Pennsylvania  
 19       Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004, beginning  
               at 9:08 a.m., on the above date, before  
 20       Amanda Dee Maslynsky-Miller, a Certified  
               Realtime Reporter.

21

- - -

22

              GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
 23       877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax  
               deps@golkow.com

24

1           stenographic record. The court  
2           reporter is Amanda Miller.

3                   And, Dr. McCann, you're  
4           still under oath.

5                   THE WITNESS: Thank you.

6                           -   -   -

7                   CRAIG J. McCANN, Ph.D., CFA,  
8           after having been previously  
9           sworn, was further examined and  
10          testified as follows:

11                           -   -   -

12                           EXAMINATION

13                           -   -   -

14   BY MS. SWIFT:

15           Q.     Good morning, Dr. McCann.  
16   My name is Kate Swift, and I represent  
17   Walgreens in this litigation.

18                   I'm going to ask you a few  
19   questions this morning, okay?

20           A.     Thank you. Yes.

21           Q.     You have Exhibit-3, which  
22   was marked yesterday in front of you,  
23   which is a copy of the three reports  
24   you've issued in this litigation,

1 amount of opioids being distributed to  
2 Cuyahoga or Summit counties, would you  
3 consider that to be 1 percent -- I'm  
4 sorry, let me --

5 A. Yes. That was the easiest  
6 question I've had so far.

7 Q. It would be. Let me  
8 rephrase.

9 If returns constituted about  
10 1 percent of the total amount of opioids  
11 being distributed into Cuyahoga and  
12 Summit counties, would you consider that  
13 to be de minimus?

14 A. In the context of my  
15 opinions, yes.

16 Q. Okay. I would like you to  
17 now look at the four exhibits that I  
18 marked. And I'm going to go through them  
19 quickly and ask you yes-or-no questions.  
20 And if you could answer yes or no, I  
21 would appreciate it, and I'm sure my  
22 colleagues would appreciate it who are  
23 waiting to get up here and ask questions,  
24 too.